Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator:EOG Resources, Inc. Well Name/Number: Therese 2-32H Location: _NW NE Section 32 T26N R54E County: Richland , MT; Field (or Wildcat)Wildcat
Air Quality
(possible concerns)
Long drilling time: No. 25-35 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, triple derrick rig 1000 HP to go to 15,187'MD/9506'TVD.
Possible H2S gas production: Slight
In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area.
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under rule 75-
<u>2-211.</u>
Mitigation:
X Air quality permit (AQB review)
X Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: Gas pipeline system in the area to take associated gas.
Water Quality
(possible concerns)
Salt/oil based mud: Yes to intermediate casing string hole to be drilled with oil based invert drilling
fluids. Horizontal laterals will be drilled with produced brine water. Surface casing hole to be drilled with
freshwater and freshwater mud.
High water table: No high water table anticipated.
Surface drainage leads to live water: No, closest drainage is an unnamed ephemeral tributary drainage to
Middle Charlie Creek, about ¼ of a mile south from this location Water well contamination: No, nearby water wells are shallow in depth, 76' to 160' in depth Closest
water wells are about 1/2 of a mile to the southeast, 1 mile to the northwest and 3/4 of a mile to the north
northwest from this location. Surface casing will be drilled with freshwater, casing set to 1134' and
cemented back to surface.
Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy silty clay soils.
Class I stream drainage No, Class I stream drainages.
Mitigation:
X Lined reserve pit
X Adequate surface casing
Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
Closed mud system
Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)
Other: Comments: 1134' surface casing well below freshwater zones in adjacent water wells. Also,
covering Base of the Fox Hills aquifer. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater muds
to 1134'+. Steel surface casing will be run and cemented to surface from 1134'.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

Steam crossings: None anticipated.

High erosion potential: Possible, location will require a moderate cut, up to 22.7' and moderate fill, up to 15.7', required.

Loss of soil productivity: _Slight, location to be restored after drilling well if well is nonproductive. If productive unused portion of wellsite will be reclaimed.

Unusually large wellsite: No, location is a large wellsite, 260'X450' in size. Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use appears to be cultivated land.

Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight

Mitigation

- __ Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
- __ Exception location requested
- X Stockpile topsoil
- ___ Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
 X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
- __ Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
- X Other: Requires DEQ General Permit for Storm Water Discharge Associated with

Construction Activity, under ARM 17.30.1102(28).

Comments: Access will be over existing county gravel roads, #143. About 374' of new access road will be built off the existing county road into this location. Oil based drilling fluids will be recycled. Freshwater surface hole cuttings will be buried on site. Oil based drill cuttings will be buried in the lined reserve pit. Completion pit fluids will be hauled to a permitted Class II saltwater disposal. Pit will be backfilled when dry. No concerns.

Health Hazards/Noise

(possible concerns)

Proximity to public facilities/residences: Residences about ½ of a mile to the southeast and 1.25 miles to the southwest from this location.

Possibility of H2S: _Slight to none.

Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple drilling rig 25 to 35 days drilling time.

Mitigation:

- X Proper BOP equipment
- __ Topographic sound barriers __ H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
- __ Special equipment/procedures requirements

__ Other:

Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with working BOP stack should mitigate any problems. Noise should not be a problems, sufficient distance from residence to rig should mitigate this.

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified in the area.

Proximity to recreation sites: None identified in the area.

Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: None

Conflict with game range/refuge management: None

Threatened or endangered Species: Threatened or endangered species listed in Richland county by USFW service are Pallid Sturgeon, Piping Plover, Interior Lease Tern and Whooping Crane.

Mitigation:

- __ Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
- __ Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
- Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite

Other:
Comments: Private cultivated surface land. No concerns.
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological
(possible concerns)
Proximity to known sites None identified.
Mitigation
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other:
Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. No concerns.
Comments. 111vate curtivated surface fands. No concerns.

Social/Economic
(possible concerns)
Substantial effect on tax base
Create demand for new governmental services
Population increase or relocation
Comments: <u>Bakken Formation single lateral horizontal oil well.</u> No concerns.
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site
15,187'MD/9506'TVD single lateral horizontal Bakken formation oil well.
15,167 MD/9500 TVD Shigle lateral horizontal Bakken formation on wen.
Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects
Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects
No long term impacts expected, only some short term impacts will occur.
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major
action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does</u>
<u>not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki
(title:) Chief Field Inspector
Date: May 2, 2010
2 wo
Other Persons Contacted:
(Name and Agency)
Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Groundwater Information Center
website.
(subject discussed)

Water wells in Richland County
US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website (Name and Agency) ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County (subject discussed)
May 2, 2010 (date)
If location was inspected before permit approval: Inspection date: Inspector: Others present during inspection: